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Abstract: This paper publishes and studies a Group of Hieratic Ostraca stored now in the basement of the Egyptian Museum of Cairo. These ostraca are written in black ink and contain administrative texts. These ostraca were perhaps uncovered by Winlock at the temple of Hatshepsut at Deir El-Bahri during the excavations of the Metropolitan Museum. According to the paleography and the topics of the texts written on these ostraca, they are probably to be dated from the first half of the 18th Dynasty.
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Introduction:

This paper publishes and studies a group of Hieratic Ostraca stored now in the basement of the Egyptian Museum of Cairo. The available data concerning the provenance of these ostraca in the museum’s registers are very few.

These ostraca are preserved inside a box found in Corridor SS.9 in the basement. It contained a large group of ostraca written in different scripts such as Hieratic, Demotic, Coptic, and Greek. In this box, we found only a small piece of paper written on it “Ostraca Hatty(?) N.E Court Naville dump”. According to this paper along with an ostracoon found in this box and published by Hayes and he mentioned that this ostracoon came from the Temple of Hatshepsut in Deir El-Bahari. These ostraca were perhaps uncovered during the excavations of the Metropolitan Museum by Winlock at Deir El-Bahri.

1- HO.Cairo 409

Description

Material: Limestone
Dimensions: H. 12 cm; W. 12 cm
Provenance: Deir El-Bahri
Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty
Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

This ostracoon is written in black ink on both sides. The recto consists of eight lines while the verso consists of one line only. The right part of the ostracoon is broken therefore some signs are missing in the third line of Recto and in the beginning of the first line of verso, but ink is well preserved. The handwriting is clear and readable.

1 These ostraca are part of my PhD thesis that finished in 2017.
2 William Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: JEA 46 (1960): 30-31, No.1, pls. IX-IX A.
Transliteration

Recto

1- rnt-sp 11 bd 3 hwt sw 10 ipt r bw
2- s 11 wnm r bw
3- [ s] 50 dmd 61
4- rnt-sp 11 bd 1 prt sw 7 ipt
5- r ipt s 26
6- wnm r ipt rnt-sp 13
7- bd 2 prt sw 3 s 15
8- hnw s 5

Verso

1- dmd-sm3 s 112

Translation

Recto

1- Year 11, the third month of Akhet season, day 10, take to Elephantine
2- 11 men, again to Elephantine
3- 50 [men], total 61
4- Year 11, the first month of Peret season, day 7, take
5- to Thebes 26 men
6- again to Thebes, in year 13
7- The second month of Peret season, day 3, 15 men
8- Gebel- es- Silsilah 5 men

Verso

1- total 112 men

Paleographical Remarks:
Recto:

L.1,2: ḫ, ḫ: According to the hieratic sign in the text, the sign represents ḫ1 and not 𓊩2 even though the word ḫw in which the sign is detected comes mostly with the sign ḫ and not 𓊩. The reason why the scribe used this sign might be that the two signs had the same transliteration. Additionally, there was confusion between the two signs starting from the Eighteenth Dynasty3. Despite its appearance twice in the text, the scribe’s addition of an upper part to the sign in the first line gave it an unfamiliar character.

L.8: 𓊩: An unusual shape of the sign: its usual forms are 𓊩4 or 𓊩5. Thus, the way the sign appeared might have resulted from the scribe’s writing the upper part of the sign according to the first form and the lower part of the sign according to the second form.

Verso:

L.1: ⬠: It might represent the remaining traces of the sign ⬠.

Commentary:

Recto:

L.1: 𓊩w: The name of this city was written in several ways; some of them were 𓊩w, 𓊩w, 𓊩w, 𓊩w, 𓊩w, 𓊩w, 𓊩w. Although the word 𓊩w was written mainly with the sign 𓊩, in this text it was written with the sign 𓊩.

L.2: 𓊩wHm: It is an adverb derived from the verb 𓊩Hm which means to repeat. This adverb means again. This adverb refers to the repetition of an operation mentioned before it is used. The use of the adverbial 𓊩Hm started from the Eighteenth Dynasty7. It

2 Möller, Hieratische Paläographie, II, 48, no.485.
4 Möller, Hieratische Paläographie, II, 10, no.112.
5 Möller, Hieratische Paläographie, I, 10, no.112.
is a frequent term used in the administrative and accounting documents in the new kingdom. This adverb explains how the administrative or computational transaction that comes after it is part of a continuous and homogeneous series of other former transactions. Among the uses of this adverb was in administrative texts for the sake of brevity and non-repetition of what was mentioned before it, or it was used when a change occurred in the text, whether in the date, place, or in the type of material received. In this text, the adverb *whm* was used twice: the first at the beginning of the text for brevity to avoid repeating the date and the verb denoting the operation performed and to change the required number of workers who were taken to Elephantine, whereas the second time it was used with the whole unabbreviated date only to change the date of the operation required as well as change the number of workers who go to Thebes.

**L.3: *dnj*:** It is a verb used with the meaning of collecting or uniting, it used as a statistical term to mean the total. The term had been used since the Old Kingdom in various forms such as 𓊢, 𓊢, 𓊢, 𓊢, these forms were used in the Middle Kingdom and the New Kingdom but in two different ways:

1- The sign 𓊢 was preceding by the sign 𓊢.

2- The determinative was using separated, as in this text, to denote the whole word. This form was very commonly used as a kind of abbreviation in the new kingdom. Moreover, this abbreviated form was often used for the word when written in the middle of the line, unlike the full form, which was often written at the beginning of the line. Indeed, the word was written in the abbreviated form on this ostracon because it was in the middle of the text.

**L.4: *rnpt-sp 11 3bd 1 prt sw 7***: The date in the middle of the text was usually written in an abbreviated way, i.e., the month and day or day only. However, in this part of the text, the whole date representing the same year mentioned earlier was written, as it might indicate the beginning of another mission related to the workers going to Thebes and not to Elephantine, so the scribe wrote the date in its complete form.

**L.6-7: *rnpt-sp 13 3bd 2 prt sw 3***: With *whm*, the abbreviated form of the date had to be used. However, the full date was written here despite its being in the middle of the text.

---


2 محمد شريف حسن, "مجموعة من البريدات الهيروغليفية الإدارية في المتحف المصري, دراسة لغوية مقارنة", (رسالة ماجستير غير منشورة, قسم الآثار المصرية القديمة, كلية الآثار, جامعة القاهرة, 1989), 128.


text and coming after the term *whm*, which is not often followed by the date. In this part of the text, the full date was written because of a change of the year, as well as the month and the day.

**L.8:** *bhnw*: The name of this place was written in several ways, such as

![diagram](image1)

Gebel- es- Silsilah is the modern name of a place located about 90 miles south of Luxor and 40 miles north of Aswan\(^5\) representing the area of sandstone quarries located between Edfu and Kom Ombo\(^6\).

**Verso:**

**L.1:** *dmD smA*: *dmD* was written here in the complete form because it came at the beginning of a line. *'dmD smA'* was a term that had been used since the Old Kingdom and sometimes continued to be used in the Eighteenth Dynasty\(^7\). The scribe used this term *'dmD smA'* and did not use *dmD* only because he gave the total sum of the number of people in the whole text, i.e., the total of all the numbers mentioned in the text.

**L.2:** 112: We note here that the mentioned total number was incorrect, as it was supposed to be 107, but 112 what was written, so it could be that the scribe may have mistakenly added the last number of Gebel- es- Silsilah twice.

2- **HO.Cairo 447**

**Description**

Material: Limestone

Dimensions : H. 7.5 cm ; W. 9 cm

Provenance : Deir el-Bahri

Date: New Kingdom, 18t Dynasty

Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

---


3 Heinrich Brugsch, *Dictionnaire géographique de l’ancienne Égypte contenant par ordre alphabétique la nomenclature comparée des noms propres géographiques qui se rencontrent sur les monuments et dans les papyrus notamment les noms des préfectures et de leurs chefs-lieux, des temples et sanctuaires, des villes, bourgs*, (Leipzig : librairie J.C. Hinrichs, 1879), 579.


This ostracon is written in black ink on one side only. It consists of five lines. The middle of the upper part of the ostracon as well as the left part are broken, therefore many signs are missing in the first line of the ostracon. Ink is well preserved. The handwriting is clear and readable.

Hieroglyphic Transcription

Transliteration

1- b3k n hrw pn nty grhw m Dsrw
2- <trh>inr n mi 7 nty h r dkr m hchy
3- zhty htrtw-ntr 11 nty h r dkr
4- m t3 ltrt nbt w
5- htrtw-ntr 4
Translation

1- Work of this day which Finished in Djoseru
2- <hauling> stone of mi 7, those who covered with plaster the horizontal
3- hill 11 masons, those who covered with plaster
4- in every shrine in the district
5- 4 masons

Paleographical Remarks:

L.2: Although the sign is more similar to the sign , by comparing this text with the text written on the ostracon no. O.MMA 17 found in the area of Deir El-Bahari and dated back to the reign of King Thutmose III, we find that according to the position of the sign in the text, it represents .

Comparing the forms of the signs written in this ostracon with the ones in ostracan no. O.MMA 4 found in the area of Deir El-Bahari and dated back to the reign of King Thutmose III, we find that the signs are very similar, as if they were for the same scribe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>HO.Cairo 447</th>
<th>O.MMA 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Sign1]</td>
<td>![Image1]</td>
<td>![Image2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sign2]</td>
<td>![Image3]</td>
<td>![Image4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sign3]</td>
<td>![Image5]</td>
<td>![Image6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sign4]</td>
<td>![Image7]</td>
<td>![Image8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sign5]</td>
<td>![Image9]</td>
<td>![Image10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sign6]</td>
<td>![Image11]</td>
<td>![Image12]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”; pl. XII.
2 Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”; 32-33, pl. IX.
**Commentary:**

**L.1: bšk:** It is a common word frequently appearing on ostraca that means work, project, or production.

**L.2: Dšrw:** The name Dšrw is the abbreviated name of Dšr-dšrw, the name of the temple of Queen Hatshepsut \(^1\), which in turn is the abbreviated name of the temple whose full name is hwt-ntr ḫr n ḫrw m rnpwt hwt Dšr-dšrw Imn \(^2\). This abbreviated name Dšrw appeared on ostraca from Deir al-Bahari with determinatives ( ), or ( ) such as ostracon no. O.MMA 4 \(^3\) ostracon no.HO.Cairo 488 \(^4\), and it also appeared on other ostraca such as ostracon O.MMA 2 \(^5\)nd ostracon no.HO.Cairo 432 \(^6\) as Dšr-dšrw.

**L.2: ḫṅ:** When comparing the ostracon under study with ostracon no. MMA 17, dating back to the reign of King Thutmose III from Deir El-Bahari \(^7\), I added this verb even though it is not found in the text because I found that the two texts are related to hauling the Mi stone.

**L.2: Inr n ml:** The mi stone is blocks of stones, intended for building specific parts of buildings, but it is unknown what type of buildings it is used for. By comparing it with other types of stones, such as the red stone Inr n ṭms andstone of roofs Inr n klpw \(^8\), we knew that it is used to build specific partsof buildings. The text on ostracon no. O.MMA 16showed that these stones were massive to the extent that forming six blocks of mi stone required the work of fifteen persons from masons for a whole day \(^9\).

**L.2: dkr:** It is a word that comes in the sense of covered with plaster or plastering \(^10\). After the masons cut the tomb in the rock and polish the walls and ceiling, the tomb is completed by drawing withoutlines, chisel engraving and filling the halls with colors.

---

\(^1\) Jaroslav Černý, *A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period*, BdE 50, 3e èd., (Cairo: Institut français d'archéologie orientale,2004), 94.


\(^3\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 32-33, pl.IX.


\(^5\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 31-32, No.2, pl. IX.


\(^7\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 44-45, pl.XII.

\(^8\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 44-45.

\(^9\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 43-44, No.16, pl. XII.

The stage of covering the surfaces with a thin layer of plaster for decoration and engraving on it afterwards precedes these three steps¹.

L.2-3: hfr 3hty : Originally, the word hfr means to rise or to shine, but when it comes with the word 3hty , it means the horizontal hill². Likewise, when the word hfr comes with the determinative citémeansahill³. Therefore, I preferred to translate this word as the horizontal hill. The expression "horizontal hill" was written in several forms hfr, 3hty. Spiegelberg believes that this place represents the temple of Hatshepsut in Deir el-Bahari⁶, while Gauthier believes that it represents just part of the temple of Hatshepsut⁷, the horizontal hill may constitute part of the temple of Deir el-Bahari. According to what is mentioned in this text which mention the horizontal hill as a part of the work inside the Temple of Hatshepsut in Deir el-Bahari. Another possibility is that this place may represent what is wider and larger than the Temple of Hatshepsut in Deir el-Bahari may represent the Deir el-Bahari area as a whole, depending on a text mention:

\[ \text{Djer-Djeseru, which is in midst of the horizontal hill} \]

3- HO.Cairo 441

Description
Material: pottery
Dimensions: H 9 cm; W. 15.5 cm
Thickness: 1:1.2 cm
Color: Red
Provenance: Deir El-Bahri

---

¹ Jaroslav Černý, The Valley of the Kings, BdE 61, (Cairo: Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1973), 33-35.
² Hannig, Grosses Handwörterbuch Ägyptisch-Deutsch, 630, no.22882.
³ Adolf Erman and Hermann Grapow, Wörterbuch der Ägyptischen Sprache, III,6 Bde, (Berlin und Leipzig 2: Akademie Verlag, 1957), 239, no.3.
⁴ Gauthier., Dictionnaire des noms géographiques, Vol. IV, 166.
⁶ Wilhelm Spiegelberg, " Die Northampton Stele", RT 22 (1900): 123.
⁷ Gauthier, Dictionnaire des noms géographiques, Vol. IV, 166.
Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty
Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo
pottery ostracon inscribed in black ink on one side only with only one line. The ink is faint in some parts of it.

Hieroglyphic Transcription

Transliteration
1- hry ḫrw m3wt

Translation
1- New chief of sailors

Paleographical Remarks:

L.1: It might represent the remaining traces of the signs
Commentary:

L.1: ḫrw: The word was written in several ways, for example 𓊗𓊉𓊢𓊛, 𓊕𓊙𓊚𓊙𓊙, 𓊚𓊙𓊚𓊙𓊙, it derived from the verb ḫr, which means to supply or to provide, a meaning that may refer to the providing of the necessary tools and supplies.

The ḫrw may have been entrusted with performing some rituals of praise and welcoming the king as mentioned in one of the texts from the temple of Deir El-Bahari.

IRT HNW n ḫrw w3 n nswt 𓊚-hpr-n-R

Praising by sailors of the boat of the king Aakheperenra

The title of chief of sailors often came with the title imy-r ḫrw or hrp ḫrw

4- HO.Cairo 499

Description

Material: Limestone

Dimensions : H. 20 cm ; W. 17 cm

Provenance : Deir El-Bahri

Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty

Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

This ostracon is written in black ink on both sides, the Recto consists of three columns. The first one consists of fourteen lines. The second column consists of fifteen lines. The third one consists of seven lines only. The right part of the Recto is broken therefore some signs are missing in the first column. There are also some faint signs on the Recto. The Verso consists of eight lines.

---

1 Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Ägyptischen Sprache, I, 181, no.6-8.

2 Hannig., Grosses Handwörterbuch Ägyptisch-Deutsch, 150, no.5097


4 هشام همت عبد المطلب، البحارة في مصر القديمة، (القاهرة: المؤسسة الدولية للكتاب، 2007، 82-83).

5 Kurt Sethe, Urkunden der älteren riches, IV, (Leipzig: J.C. Hinrich'sche Buch hand lung,1903), 309, 12.
Hieroglyphic Transcription
Verso

Hieroglyphic Transcription
Transliteration

Recto

Col. I
1- 3bd l šmw sw 7 [...]. hṛtyw s 3
2- 1mn-htp n Intf s 30
3- T3šw 5
4- s3w [2]3
5- [3bd l] šmwsww [...] 8
6- [1mn]-htp n Intf 30
7- [T3]šw 5
8- [s3w] 23
9- [hṛtyw] s 5
10- [3bd l] šmw sw 2
11- 1mn-htp 30
12- T3šw [...]
13- s3w 23
14- hṛtyw 2

Col. II
1- 3bd l šmw sw 3
2- 1mn-htp 30
3- T3šw 7
4- s3w 23
5- hṛtyw 3
6- 3bd l šmw sw 4
7- 1mn-htp 30
8- T3šw 6
9- s3w 23
10- hṛtyw 3
11- 3bd l šmw sw 5
12- 1mn-htp 30
13- T3šw 10
14- s3w 23
15- hṛtyw 3

Col. III
1- T3šw10 [...]
2- s3w n pr Ybw [...]
3- s3w n p3 ḫ-rsy [...]
4- s3w
5- Nb-nfr
6- hk3 dmd 3
7- [... ...] s 3

Verso
1- 3bd l šmw sw 5 p3 ḫ-rs
2- b3kw n n3 n nby
3- Wsr n K3wy s 8
4- Wsr n K3wy s 8
5- Wsr n K3wy 2
6- wršw 4
7- Wsr n K3wy 3
8- wršw 1

Translation

Recto

Col. I
1- First month of Shemou season, day 7 [...] masons, 3 men
2- Amenhotep son of Intef 30 men
3- Tashou 5
4- guards [2]3
5- [First month] of Shemou season, day [...]
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6- [Amen]hotep son of Intef 30
7- Tashou 5
8- [guards] 23
9- [masons] 5 men
10- [First month] of Shemou season, day 2
11- Amenhotep 30
12- Tashou […]
13- guards 23
14- masons 2

Col.II
1- First month of Shemou season, day 3
2- Amenhotep 30 men
3- Tashou 7
4- guards 23
5- masons 3
6- First month of Shemou season, day 4
7- Amenhotep 30
8- Tashou 6
9- guards 23
10- masons 3
11- First month of Shemou season, day 5
12- Amenhotep 30
13- Tashou 10
14- guards 23
15- masons 3

Col.III
1- Tashou 10
2- guard of Temple Ybw[..]
3- guard of southern province
4- guards
5- Nebnefer
6- Heka total 3
7- […] 3 men
**Verso**

1- First month of Shemou season, day 5
2- the work in progress of goldsmiths
3- Weser son of Kawy 8 men
4- Amenneb son of Aahmes
5- watchmen 4
6- day 6
7- Weser son of Kawy 3
8- watchman 1

**Paleographical Remarks:**

**Recto**

**Col.I**

L.2: : A reading of the sign that is sometimes used as a genitival adjective to replace the word son. This use of the sign was common in texts containing lists of names such as ostracon no. HO.Cairo 407 and ostracon no. O.Leipzig 22.

L.3: : The sign represents but is an addition to the name

L.5: : According to its position in the text, the signs represent the remains of

L.7: : from the remains of the signs and the order of the words in a text, it represents

L.8: : from the order of the words in a text, it represents the remains of the word

L.11: : Unusual form of the word .

L.14: : Unusual form of the word .

L.14: : A very abbreviated and unusual form of the sign , but it continued to be used in this way throughout the text.

---

1 Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 184-189, pl.2.
Col.II:

L.2: ﹠: A very abbreviated and unusual form of the sign ﹠.

L.4: ₳: More similar to the sign [new symbol], but it represents the determinative of the name ﹻ.

L.6: ₳: It may indicate the number 4 or the number 5, but here it may be the number 4, because all the numbers of days in this column are written in a horizontal way, and tracing the previous and subsequent dates shows they must represent the number 4.

L.12: ﹠: A very abbreviated and unusual form of the sign ﹠.

Verso:

L.1: ﹠: More similar to the signs ﹠, however the context of the text indicates they are more likely to represent ﹠ for the indication pיר r-抬 h3kw

L.5: ﹠: unusual form of the sign ﹠.

L.5: ﹠: Unusual form of ﹠ but according to its position in the word it represents ﹠.

L.8: ﹠: A close form of ﹠ but it represents ﹠.

Commentary:

Recto:

Col.I:

L.1: 3bd 1 šmw sw 7: The scribe wrote the full date here, and did not only write the day, as he did on the verso when the date was repeated, because it is the beginning of the text, so the scribe wrote the date in more detail and continued in the same way throughout the text on the recto.

L.4: sšw: there were two different ways of writing this title ﹺ ﹺ sšwty and ﹺ ﹺ sšw. In most texts, the guard was referred to as a guard only, without specifying whether this guard was the guardian of the tomb or any other facility, but obviously they were the guards of various places in western Thebes. Likewise, in the hieratic texts the chief of the guards hry sšw was often called sšw only. Therefore, when this title is mentioned alone, as in this text, it is difficult to determine the type of the facility that the guard is in charge of. It is also difficult to determine whether this guard was a guard only or a chief of the guards. The guards of the tombs were not members of the gang of workmen, but they were in contact with them and they were received

---

1 Černý, A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period, 149.
2 Černý, A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period, 150-152.
their salaries of grains at the same time with the workmen. The main task of the guard is to guard the materials used in building tombs and temples and hand them over to workers when required. Copper tools were among the most valuable items placed in the custody of the guard. The guard used to hand these tools to the workers in the presence of the foreman and the scribe who were informed of this event, and sometimes the guard would replace an old tool with a new, sharp one, and give the old tools to a Coopersmith to repair them and the old and new tools would be in his custody. Besides the tools, the guard used to store the oil and fats used to light the wicks, so that he would also hand them over to the workers when needed. In the same way, the guard stored the pigments used in painting, the valuables, the leather sacks, and even the clothes with which the workers were supplied from time to time. And only once was the guard assigned with the door keeper to transport grain to the workers.

Col.III:

L.3: -rsy: This word was written in several ways, such as $\underline{\text{srsy}}$, $\underline{\text{rsy}}$, $\underline{\text{srsy}}$ 4. It is a word referring to Upper Egypt or, more accurately, the south of it, i.e., the area extending south from the first cataract (Lower Nubia).

L.6: dmD 3: This number may represent the sum of the guard sñw, Nebnefer Nb-nfr, and Heka hk3

Verso

L.1-2: p3 r-c b3kw: It is a common word frequently appearing on ostraca that means work, project, or production. When the word r-c is added to b3kw to become r-c b3kw, it gives the meaning of work in progress. This term was usually used to refer to work in the royal tombs, also when this term provided with the definite article p3, it becomes p3 r-c b3kw, referring to the workmen’s habitual place of work, and sometimes this expression was abbreviated to p3 b3kw to mean the work.

L.2: nby: Workers who converted raw gold into pieces of gold, jewelry, or other pieces of gold used as funerary objects for kings were given this title. The chief staff of the temple supervised gold makers. The priests of the temple took the golden products

---

1 Černý, A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period, 251.
2 Černý, A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period, 160-161.
4 Hermann Junker, Der Auszug der Hathor-Tefnut aus Nubien, APAW 1, (Berlin: Verlag der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften : In Kommission bei Georg Reimer, 1911), 50, No.1.
6 Černý, A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period, 81.
7 Černý, A Community of Workmen at Thebes in the Ramesside Period, 83.
from their production to use in rituals.\footnote{Erika Feucht, "Goldschmiedearbeiten", in Lexikon Der Ägyptologie 2, ed. Wolfgang Helck and Eberhard Otto (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1977), 752.} Perhaps this is the reason for the existence of such a text in the temple of Hatshepsut in Deir el-Bahari. In addition, the king needed a large amount of gold for funerary objects, and he used it as gifts for his high officials\footnote{Feucht, "Goldschmiedearbeiten", 752.}.

**L.3:** s: This was only written at the beginning of the names to specify that these numbers and the following ones represent the numbers of persons.

**L.5:** Wrṣw: The word Wrṣw means to stay watchful and was used to describe the guards because they needed to be vigilant in their guarding. This type of guard may have had particular work different from that of the sḫw guards who appeared on the recto of the ostracon. Since they guarded gold as shown in this text and were among the members of the military system\footnote{Raymond Faulkner, "Egyptian Military Organization", JEA 39 (1953): 41.} that needed a high level of guarding, the Wrṣw guards may have needed to be more vigilant than the cemetery guards.

**L.6:** hrw 6: Only the day was written here, not the whole date, because only the day changed from the previous date. It is noted that the numbers of goldsmiths and guards mentioned on the fifth day were higher than those mentioned on the sixth day. It may be due to the lot of work that had been done on the fifth day, so there was no longer a need for such a large number of workers.

**4- HO.Cairo 429**

**Description**

- Material: Limestone
- Dimensions: H. 10 cm; W. 11 cm
- Provenance: Deir El-Bahri
- Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty
- Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

**Bibliography:**

William Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”, JEA 46(1960): 30-31, No.1, pls.IX-IX A.

Hayes published this Ostracon, but I will re-publish it, as it was found within this group that will be published in this article. And I will complete some information about this ostracon that Hayes did not mention, such as its measurements, Current location, re-translate it, and Commentary.

This ostracon is written in black ink on one side only. It consists of four lines. Several groups in lines 1, 2, and 4 are written over erasures such as the signs \(=\) in line 1 and the
sign in line 2. The right part of the ostracon is broken therefore some signs are missing. The ink on the remaining part is well preserved.

Hieroglyphic Transcription

Transliteration

1- [Nb-hpt-R芮] mḥw r pꜣ inḫ
2- […]ḥw m pꜣ smꜣ
3- […]mḥ 27 śsp 2 dbꜣ l
4- [Nb-hpt-R芮]

Translation

1- [Nebhepetre], the justified, concerning the wall
2- measure with restore
3- 27 cubits, 2 palms, 1 digit.
4- [Nebhepetre]

Paleographical Remarks:

L.1: : remains of signs \( \text{\textcircled{1}} \).
L.2: : Traces of some signs below the original ones \( \text{\textcircled{1}} \).
L.2: : Hayes reading it as \( \text{\textcircled{3}} \) while according to the hieratic sign in the text \(^1\) I read \( \text{\textcircled{1}} \).
L.2: : It is the remains of previous signs of \( \text{\textcircled{1}} \).
L.3: : It may refer to the number \( \text{\textcircled{1}} \).

Commentary:

L.1: \( \text{Nb-hpt-R} \): This title represents the coronation title of King Mentuhotep II, one of the kings of the Eleventh Dynasty, and his mortuary temple near the Temple of Queen Hatshepsut in Deir el-Bahari.

L.1: \( \text{inb} \): It is a word derived from the verb \( \text{inb} \), which means to enclose or to wall in, and is used to denote most kinds of walls, whether the walls with small stones used for building private homes, the stone walls of temples, or the huge stones used in building the huge enclosure-walls around towns or temples \(^2\). \( \text{inb} \) is mostly used to refer to the massive enclosure-walls surrounding temples or the walls of the temple itself \(^3\).

L.2: \( \text{kJw} \): Hayes translated this word as plumb-line, but I think this word is the verb to measure for the following reasons: When the word comes with these determinatives and in this form, it means to measure or the measurement \(^4\), while the word with the meaning of plumb-line is written like this \( \text{\textcircled{5}} \), and in the next line in the text, measurements related to lengths such as cubit, palm, and digit \( \text{“mh ssp db”} \) are mentioned. It is then natural that this verb is the verb that means to measure.

L.2: \( \text{sm3} \): Hayes translated this word as sacrificial, citing the Berlin dictionary \(^6\), but I think the word means restoration or to restore, for the following reasons: The word that

---

\(^1\) Möller, \textit{Hieratische Paläographie}, II, 17, no.196.
\(^3\) Spencer, \textit{The Egyptian Temple}, 263.
\(^5\) Lesko, \textit{A Dictionary of Late Egyptian}, Vol. II, 156.
\(^6\) Erman and Grapow, \textit{Wörterbuch der Ägyptischen Sprache}, IV, 126, no.3.
Hayes relied on in the Berlin dictionary is *sm3wy*, not *sm3*, which takes a different determinative. When consulting dictionaries, we find that using the word in this way can mean renewal or restoration\(^1\), especially since the text talks about a wall and its measurements. The translation of the word as offerings or to sacrificial results in its being meaningless and having no interpretable meaning. Especially with measurements related to lengths after the word. Also, the word wall *inb* at the beginning of the text makes it more likely to be interpreted as restoration of the wall, while in Hayes’ translation; the presence of the word wall *inb* does not give an interpretable meaning.

L.3:*mh* : For the ancient Egyptians, the cubit was one of the measures of length, which represented about 20.6 inches, equivalent to about 52.3 centimeters. The cubit represented about 7 palms\(^2\).

L.3:*ssp* : Because the cubit represents 7 palms, the palm is about 7.5 centimeters\(^3\).

L.3:*db* : Four digit represent the palm, so the digit is about 1.8 centimeters\(^4\).

L.3:*mh sp db* : It indicates the measures for lengths known to the ancient Egyptians, and it is used as in this text in a consecutive manner in this order. And its function to measuring small objects, such as walls, stelae, shrines\(^5\).

6- HO.Cairo 405

Description

Material: Limestone

Dimensions : H. 7.5 cm ; W. 6 cm

Provenance : Deir El-Bahri

Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty

Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

This ostracon is written in black ink on both sides. The recto consists of four lines while the verso consists of only one line. The ink is faint in some places, such as fourth line on Recto.

---

Recto

Hieroglyphic Transcription

Verso

Hieroglyphic Transcription
Transliteration

**Recto**
1- sw 19 340
2- sw 10 560
3- sw 10 560 dmD 1450
4- Dšt 550

**Verso**
1- Dsr

Translation

**Recto**
1- day 19, 340
2- day 10 560
3- day 10 560, total 1450
4- remainder 550

**Verso**
1-Djeseru

Paleographical Remarks:

**Recto:**

L.1-2: 𓇋 : There is an overlap between the number ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ and the number ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ ⲍ.
Commentary:

Recto

L.2: sw10: the presence of the date that refers to the tenth day after the nineteenth day in the first line may indicate a change in the month, as this date may represent the tenth day of the following month of the nineteenth day.

L.2: sw 10 560: the research will reveal how its repetition was by mistake.

L.3: dmD: This term is used here not for the sum, which is its usual use but to mean the total of what must be received for the temple. it is in its abbreviated form because it is written in the middle of the line.

L.4: 1450: We think the scribe may have made a mistake in calculating the number 1450, while the correct number should be 340 + 560 + 560 = 1460. In fact, I think the scribe did not err in this; rather, he erred in repeating hrw 10 560 for the following reasons: If the two numbers 340 and 560 are added, the result will be 900, which represents the result of what has been delivered to the temple, and the total that must be delivered is 1450, as mentioned in the text, so the remainder = what must be delivered - what has been delivered = 1450-900 = 550, which is what the scribe actually wrote.

L.5: DAt: It is a term that means the remainder, and it is the most common term for indicating this word in the new kingdom in general and in the Eighteenth Dynasty in particular. This term is one of the oldest arithmetic terms in ancient Egypt. the use of this term goes back to the Eleventh Dynasty and may go back to the old kingdom. The term appears on a small fragment of a papyrus from Abusir dating to the Old Kingdom.

In the new kingdom, this term had several uses, such as:

1- It is used to refer to things that remain unreceived. The scribe used to make this in different ways:

   - the quantity of the total number of things must be received is preceded by the term total dmD, then the quantity of what has been delivered is preceded by the term delivered iw, and the quantity of what remains is preceded by the term remainder DAt.

   - the quantity preceded by the term delivered iw then the quantity preceded by the term remainder DAt.

   - the quantity preceded by the term total dmD then the quantity preceded by the term remainder DAt.

2- This term is use to give us the final result for the remainder of all calculations.

---


5 Megally, *Notions de comptabilité à propos du papyrus E. 3226 du Musée du Louvre*, 76.
The term was used to indicate the opening balance; it is often used here in the middle of the accounts to indicate what is left, which is considered in turn the opening balance for a new accounting. In this ostracon, the term was used to denote remained and unreceived things.

Verso:

L.1: $\text{Dsrw}$ : It might have been written on the verso of the ostracon because there was more than one budget for more than one place, and to distinguish each one of them, the scribe wrote the name on the verso.

7- HO.Cairo 460

Description

Material: Limestone

Dimensions: H. 7.5 cm; W. 7.5 cm

Provenance: Deir El-Bahri

Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty

Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

This ostracon is written in black ink on both sides. The recto consists of five lines while the verso consists of four lines. The ink is faint in many places, such as all lines on verso

Recto

Hieroglyphic Transcription

Hieroglyphic Transcription

Transliteration

Recto

1-\textit{wdnw} [..]

Verso

1- [......] \textit{irp} 2
2- $\text{wdnt}$ bread 5 khar.
3- large $\text{ssrt}$—bread 1
4- fruits 11
5- beer 10

Verso
1- [...] wine 2
2- bird 1
3- [...] 5
4- [...] 5

Paleographical Remarks:

Recto:

L.4: $\text{ wdnt}$: Resembles more the sign $\triangle$, but according to the word, it represents $\square$.

L.5: $\text{ ssrt}$: It might represent the remaining traces of the number $\sqcap$.

Commentary:

Recto:

L.1: $\text{wdnw}$: This word, which means offerings, was written in more than one way, such as $\text{wdnw}$, $\text{ssrt}$, the one on this ostracon, and $\text{dkrw}$, and it was included in the lists of offerings along with fruit $\text{dkrw}$ and beer $\text{hnkt}$.

L.2: $\text{wdnt}$: This word was written in the same form on several ostraca from Deir el-Bahari, such as ostracon no. O.MMA 10 and ostracon no. HO.Cairo 448, and ostracon no. HO.Cairo 404. It may mean $\text{wdnt}$ bread and not offerings, as the scribe mentioned the

---

1 Erman and Grapow, *Wörterbuch der Ägyptischen Sprache*, 1, 393-1.
2 Hayes,” A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: pls. X-IX, No. 9-10.
3 Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 36-37.
4 Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 200-206, pls, 5-6.
word offerings in the previous line, and this type of bread was probably small and a roll rather than a loaf. 1

L.2: ♭hr: It is one of the most important measures used by the ancient Egyptians 2, and it was mainly used in measuring grains 3, dates, salt, and fish, as well as in estimating the quantities of water 4, and sometimes it was used in weighing the gypsum used in cladding the walls of the tombs of the Valley of the Kings. 5

L.3: sšrt: This word was sometimes abbreviated to also mean bread. The word was first used in the Middle Kingdom and was commonly used to mean bread in the new Kingdom. On ostracon no. O.CGC 25624, we find the term sšt n it to indicate that this round-shaped bread was made from barley. 6

L.4: dkrw: This word had been used since the Middle Kingdom 7, and was written in more than one way, like. 8 9 10. Fruit, along with vegetables and cereal products, constituted an essential item in the diet of the ancient Egyptians. The word was also mentioned in the lists of offerings that list the foods and offerings offered to gods or individuals. 11
L.5: *hnkt*. The verb *hnk* is the origin of the word *hnkt*, and for the ancient Egyptians, it meant "the liquid," which was his main drink\(^1\). Bread and beer were the most important items of a diet in ancient Egypt. Hence, the deceased wished to be provided with them in the afterlife\(^2\), so they were included in the lists of offerings. Beer is a popular drink that differs from wine in that it is cheap and is considered an essential diet item\(^3\). The *hnkt* was measured in several ways, such as the measure of *hnw*, the measure of *ds*, and others.

Verso:

L.1: *3pd*. The word *3pd* referred to birds in general without distinguishing the type of bird\(^4\), and in very few cases the word *3pd* referred to ducks\(^5\).

For the ancient Egyptians, birds were cheap commodities included in the lists of offerings\(^6\).

8- HO.Cairo 490

Description

Material: pottery
Dimensions: H 10.5 cm; W. 12 cm
Thickness: .8: 2 cm
Color: light brown
Provenance: Deir El-Bahri
Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty
Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo

This ostracan is written in black ink on one side only. The ostracan consists of three columns. The first one consists of five lines. The second column consists of eight lines. The third column consists of two lines. There is an overlap between the first column and the second column. The ink is well preserved.

---

1 Wolfgang Helck, *Das Bier im Alten Ägypten*, (Berlin: Gesellschaft für die Geschichte und Bibliographie des Brauwesens E. V. Institut für Gärungsgewerbe und Biotechnologie, 1971), 15.
3 ههب سوُز عبذ السلام القٌبو، "سراعت الكزوم وصٌبعت الٌبُذ فٍ،" (رسالة ماجستير غير مشورة، قسم الآثار المصرية القديمة، كلية الآثار، جامعة القاهرة، 1988)، 119.
6 Janssen, *Commodity Prices from the Ramesside Period*, 178.
Hieroglyphic Transcription

Transliteration and Translation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Col.I</th>
<th>Col.II</th>
<th>Col.III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paleographical Remarks:

Col.I, Col.II:
L.2:  : The numbers 200 and 800 overlap
L.3:  : The numbers 200 and 800 overlap
L.4:  : The numbers 100 and 400 overlap
L.6:  : The numbers 800 and 400 overlap noting, that the first number 800 is written very abbreviated, because the scribe may have relied on this part of the sign common to the two numbers.

Commentary:

This ostracon represents an exercise of writing some numbers, and it is possible that there were such exercises in writing numbers. The presence of the ostracon in the Temple of Hatshepsut in Deir el-Bahari because in the New Kingdom we have few indications to the existence of schools in or near the Deir el-Bahari temple.

9. HO.Cairo 458

Description
Material: limestone
Dimensions: H 4 cm; W. 6 cm
Provenance: Deir El-Bahri
Date: New Kingdom, 18th Dynasty
Current location: Egyptian Museum of Cairo
Limestone ostracon inscribed in black ink on one side only with only one line.

Hieroglyphic Transcription

1 Georges Daressy, Ostraca, CGC (Nos 25001- 25383), (Le Caire :Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1901), 84, No.25331.
Transliteration:
1- imy-r pr n Imn

Translation:
1- Steward of Amun

Commentary:

L.1: imy-r pr: The title Steward imy-r pr was a title held by officials bearing important titles; for example, vizier Hori was Steward of the Temple of King Saptah. This title was rarely mentioned in the ostraca and papyrus. This might be due to these stewards having highest echelons, and the supervision of the temple was only under their responsibility, and most of them were responsible for the royal palace while staying at the residence or elsewhere. As stewards of the temple, they were responsible for the fields around the temples and personnel throughout the country, not only for the people and resources in the immediate surroundings of the temple. They did not necessarily have a direct presence in the temple with the workers, and thus writing this title in the administrative documents related to work in the temple was not common. Another reason could be that they were written on papyrus with a different title the superior of the house n pr starting from the Twentieth Dynasty, with the exchange of the two titles starting from the Eighteenth Dynasty onwards.

In Haring’s opinion, the titles Steward imy-r pr and the superior of the house n pr prefer to one title with the same function, whereas Gardiner views the title of the superior of the house n prs only an updated way of writing the title of the steward imy-r pr, while Helck believes that the title superior of the house n pr was used in

---

1 Haring, Divine Households, 226.
2 Haring, Divine Households, 226.
3 Haring, Divine Households, 225.
cases where personal names were not written\(^1\). Graefe proposes that the title superior of the house \(\text{\textit{kh\textit{n pr}}\) was less in status than the title Steward \(\text{\textit{imy-r pr}}\), and that there was no conclusive opinion of whether the two titles always referred to the same function and position or not.

**Dating:**

According to the personal names and paleography, these ostraca are probably to be dated from the first half of the 18th Dynasty. The following table shows comparison between the ostraca which are under study and other ostraca and papyrus from the 18th Dynasty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G/M</th>
<th>Ostraca under study</th>
<th>Other ostraca and papyrus from 18th Dynasty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G29+V31+Z7+A24/ 211+200B+15</td>
<td>HO. Cairo 447</td>
<td>O.MMA 4(^5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| D45+D21+Z7 / 107+91+200B | HO. Cairo 447 | O.MMA 4 \(^4\)
| 618 | | HO. Cairo 447 |
| R8+T28+D21+Z4+A24+A1+Z2/ 547+397+91+560+15+33+561 | HO. Cairo 447 | O.MMA 4 \(^7\) |
| 620 | HO.Cairo 499 | HO.Cairo 409 |


\(^3\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 32-33, pl. IX.

\(^4\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 32-33, pl. IX.

\(^5\) Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 202-206, pl.6.

\(^6\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 32-33, pl. IX.

\(^7\) Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 32-33, pl. IX.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>M8+X1/274+575: LX</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 460</td>
<td>384 2 O.MMA 4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>HO.Cairo 409</td>
<td>Louvre E. 3226 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>F25/162</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 409</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>G41+G1/221+192</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 429</td>
<td>Louvre E. 3226 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>N18/330</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 460</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 448 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>M17+Y5+N35+R4+X1+Q3/283+540+331+552+388</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 499</td>
<td>HO.Cairo 407 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 198-200, pl.5.
2 Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 206-208, pl.7.
3 Hayes, “A Selection of Tuthmoside Ostraca from Der El-Bahri”: 32-33, pl. IX.
4 Mounir Megally, *Considérations sur les variations et la transformation des formes hiératiques du papyrus E. 3226 du Louvre*, BdE XLIX, (Le Caire : Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1971), pl. XLV.
5 Möller, Hieratische Päliographie, I, 15, no.162, II, 14, no.162.
6 Megally, *Considérations sur les variations et la transformation des formes hiératiques du papyrus E. 3226 du Louvre*, pl. VI.
7 Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 202-206, pl.6.
8 Hassan, “Some 18th Dynasty Hieratic Ostraca from Deir el-Bahri”: 184-189, pl.2.
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